The etymology of the word "healing" comes from the root that also means holy. We define ourselves as members of the healing arts if we are engaged in the work of therapy. Yet, in the realm of our traditional therapeutic approaches, scant if any, reference is made to the inclusion of what is holy into the process. Psychology, as it is generally organized within our cultural context, derives its guiding principles from the natural scientific application of what originally was discovered as the principles underlying the operation of machines and of the material world in general. When philosophical materialism dominates the value system of a society there tends to be a diminishing emphasis on the holy. This situation might occur as a consequence of the elevation of the material thing to a revered status, while feelings about religious or spiritual life are relegated to the realm of the aberrant and the pathological; or what might be worse, not taken seriously at all. This trend has been followed in the field of psychology and the dominant modes of western therapy that have developed thus far in this century, namely, behaviorism and dynamic psychology. The term "behaviorism" speaks for itself; it has increasingly been demonstrated as a dehumanizing form of therapy, contemptuous of the finer nuances of human existence. Dynamic psychology has represented an attempt to break out of destructive life patterns and to provide a method which would help individuals to achieve some measure of psychological freedom. Here was a way of counteracting the crushing influence imposed by industrial and technological society, with its concommitant movement toward mediocrity and conformity. However, by opting to work within the framework of the technological culture and the tenets of natural science, dynamic psychology has severely restricted itself. Defining its goals according to the prejudices of contemporary culture, dynamic psychology has come to regard the expression of religious feeling and experience—the latter necessarily nonmaterial and often beyond verbal explanation—as unreal and aberrant. With regard to the "holy," it appears that the movement of psychology has paralleled that of the culture. If healing is intimately related to the holy (as etymology suggests) then our current approaches to therapy are inadequate. Any psychology that aligns itself with a purely materialistic view of the world cloaks its theories in terms based on the image-making tendency of linear thought and erects theoretical structures on purely empirical foundations, cannot, by its own tenets, allow for the admittance of what is nonmaterial and qualitative. Dynamic psychology is inherently prejudiced against that which stands outside of concrete reality.

In order for a meaningful healing to take place within the context of our current therapeutic procedures, psychology must develop tolerance toward all experience, including the holy, which is fundamentally a non-rational phenomenological event. Indeed, psychology must pave the way for opening human beings to this dimension within themselves. It can be questioned as to whether the purely materialistic philosophy implied in the theories underlying the technique of free association in current
psychotherapeutics can permit an individual to find those spiritual sources—often apprehended as non-rational experience—that are necessary for man to achieve a totality of fulfillment. Free association, as performed and interpreted by the patient and conceived of by the therapist, is derivative of the analytic and rational function of the mind. Free association is geared to addressing not what presents itself to us immediately in the phenomenological openness of our perception, but rather toward discerning through analysis what lies “behind” what appears to our perception.

What would provide a link between healing, the holy, therapeutics, and science? At the outset we could say that the attributes of this link would have to include its accessibility to man living in everyday life, while at the same time permitting him to experience that which is transcendental. This link must not be fraught with an aura of mystification or cultism and it must allow human beings to grow by finding possibilities for change that can be fulfilled experientially. Such a link is to be found in the revitalizing influence and application of the creative imagination in our everyday existence. For centuries the imaginal has been held in disrepute in western life simply because the growth of natural science and technology would not permit the “visionary” element into common life. The rise of capitalism and industrial society, rationalism, and formalized religion in the post-renaissance period all formed pieces of a whole which was constituted to exploit the natural wealth of the western world in order to satisfy economic and expansionistic needs. The powerbrokers, therefore, developed the conglomerate of organized church, industry, and the military, which has continued to consolidate its grip on western society. One overriding aim of these groups was to stamp out whatever appeared to run counter to their attempts to restrict imaginative and spiritual freedom; another was to assimilate all discoveries of the new science within a utilitarian framework. With the leveling of culture and the promulgation of an orderly mediocrity came the sacrifice of those idealists and seers who posed a threat to the established order of material “reality.” Empirical philosophy became the rationale for investing the world of gross matter with exaggerated significance; only that which was graspable by the five senses was “real.” All other experience incapable of quantitative measurement or calculation was, therefore, consigned to the realm of the “unreal.” Imagination, fantasy, and intuition became members of that unreal fraternity. Prior to the removal of the imaginal from the province of “reality,” this faculty was so acceptable in daily life that it was an essential part of medical care.

In the late medieval and early renaissance period, the human imagination flourished in movements like alchemy, Christian mysticism, and Kabbalah, all of which emphasized an active connection between man and God by exercising the vehicle of imagination. The organ of imagination, was thought to be located in the ventricles of the brain, serving as a regulator of visual phenomena like dreams and hallucinations. Individuals were encouraged to enter the imaginal realm where they would often discover some object that seemed to have healing properties. The patient was then told to obtain an amulet or talisman that corresponded to that which he had found in the imagination, a reminder of what he discovered about himself in the imaginal realm and which he was to carry out in his everyday life. In this way an attempt was made to establish a unity of experience between interior and exterior life.

The imaginal realm is an accepted reality in traditions like Sufism, Tibetan Buddhism, Kabbalah and in American Indian lore. Although the imaginal is accepted as a genuine realm of existence, the physical body is not accepted as the locus of what constitutes the essential “I” or mind. The body is the vehicle for the manifestation of mind in the world of concrete reality. But the world of concrete reality is not the only one available to human beings; it is rather that which happens as in linear time. In the imaginal reality—as in the creative process—events are lived outside of linear time; in fact, it is here that time is reversed and entropy decreased. Entropy by definition occurs
as the linear movement of time in a unidirectional manner. This is the movement of time in concrete waking reality. Any event lived in linear time, such as everyday life, must participate in an entropic movement in which entropy is increased. As time progresses, entropy increases. However, in an event experienced as occurring outside of linear time, entropy by definition in that event is decreased. Therefore, in the creative process of imaginal existence entropy perforce is decreased and the breaking down process is stilled. The inaugurators of the disciplines alluded to above were well aware that this process of attaining to such “timelessness” initiated the event of healing, for it is only outside of linear time, in a field of totality, that the fragmentation that is characteristic of linear thought disappears. At this moment of insight the verbal, actional, and imaginal modes of existence are harmonized. The verbal is used here only to describe the event while it is seen and explored in the imagination. A common occurrence in connection with practices involving extension into the immaterial reality is an immediate sense of connection with a spiritual dimension. One often experiences a feeling that is described as holy, and this experienced connection is part of the healing process which eliminates the fragmentation brought about by identification with the objects of our perception. Since linear thinking constantly manages to deceive us in this way, healing can only occur when we abandon the habit of linear thinking, even if momentarily. Paradoxically, psychological healing takes place, outside the intellectual process.

Scholars of eastern philosophy point out that Mahayana Buddhists posited the notion of a “storehouse consciousness” comprised of realms of images, which were both a barrier and a pointer to the level of no-mind. The adepts of Kabbalah knew this as well, and they transformed such knowledge into a method of meditational practice that quickly surpassed ratiocination and dependence on habitual mental fabrications in favor of the unqualified present experience and the phenomenology of the moment. These methods stand as phenomenological systems that are essentially opposed to the speculations of dynamic psychology, which proposes that appearances are less significant than that which remains unmanifest. As an instance, of the latter, Freud (1916) stated:

We do not merely seek to describe and classify phenomena but to comprehend them as indications of a play of forces in the psyche, as expressions of goal-directed tendencies which work in unison or against one another. We are striving for a dynamic conception of psychic phenomena. Perceived phenomena must in our conception recede behind the assumed, posited tendencies. (my emphasis)

Simply put, in order to illustrate the opposing points of view, and borrowing a little from Freud: dynamics = sometimes a cigar is a cigar; phenomenology = a cigar is always a cigar. The further elaboration of this phenomenology as it has been modified to meet our western psychological needs, combines the spheres of verbal description, imagination, and action (experience) and will be described below by a method called Waking Dream (Epstein, 1978, 1981).

A fundamental problem that has pervaded the psychological field has been that of understanding fantasy as part of the mental life of the individual. Harking back to what I said previously about what has evolved out of the Cartesian fallout, namely the elevation of the material to a central position in life experience, fantasy also falls into the domain of the unreal. Fantasies are not quantifiable nor graspable within measurable limits. As a result, psychology (explicitly) and all natural science (implicitly) have come to regard fantasy as “unreal,” products of a person’s psyche (itself an unproven speculation) that when revealed are to be understood by the sense that can be made out of them by linear logic so they can be dispensed with rather than played around with, or indulged in. Even more tolerant psychotherapists who will be quick to point out that they accept fantasies as realities will invariably interpret fantasies in line with a dynamically oriented point of view or Weltan-
To put it simply, fantasies will be seen by therapists and patients alike as either causing some difficulty or conflict or caused by some difficulty or conflict. The result is always the same—a deterministic picture must be appended to fantasy life. It can be no other way if one's world image is preconceivedly brought to bear, by therapist and patient alike to the phenomenon (in this case fantasy) that stands before them.

What would the situation be otherwise, that is, without applying the deterministic world view? The immediate effect would be a distinct experience of openness and acceptance of the event called fantasy. Once the event is accepted, without having to fit it into an explanatory framework, it can be allowed to stand on its own ground without having to be immediately devalued by being translated into another framework—namely, linear logical thought. Once this habit of translation is stopped then the person is automatically given permission, for the first time, to play in the event, to explore it, to treat it as a reality of sensory veracity that can reveal information albeit in its own language, not necessarily linear logical. No longer does one have to disown an important content in the chain of mental life but instead can come to take a less critical and more tolerant view of one's own experience.

Fantasy can be seen as the first step on the road to imagination. These two events differ in many important ways. Although the terms fantasy and imagination are used interchangeably they, are in fact, different and should not be lumped together. The grouping of the two probably came about as a result of Cartesian influence which caused all nonmaterial events to be viewed as unreal and so came under one heading. Fantasy can be understood as perhaps the raw material for the imaginative process. This latter process utilizes an organ of perception, the imagination, to apprehend a nonmaterial reality behind sensory reality, which has noetic value. These imaginal realms of existence are ever changing, not controlled by an individual's personal bidding as in the case of fantasy. Whereas fantasy is easily identifiable in relation to one's concerns in everyday life which one is trying to master, imaginal experience transcends the conflictual by permitting a new action to take place within the framework of a usually unique encounter that could never be ordinarily drummed up by a person's waking thoughts. Let me give an example to concretize these points.

A subject experiencing imagery work reported that she is... in a garden. I see a tree and it and the garden are white. I see a chrysanthemum that is white and has ancient Aramaic letters on it. The center is red and gold. The stem is long and green. I look at the flower's reddish gold center and I go down into it finding myself in a cave or a series of caves by the sea. I hear a murmuring of music—like ooooo, echoing through the caves. Beyond, through the openings I can see and smell the blue sea. I listen to the music and I hear the words, "Where are you going?" I notice that there are lovely sea nymphs in white, hair streaming, dancing and singing barefoot on the rocks. I realize that the nymphs are sirens. I crouch beneath a rock and watch them. I am a small, dark child—barefoot, but keen and brave. Suddenly, the sirens take small boats and paddle out to sea through the cave opening with their long paddles standing up in their boats. I wish to follow them and have no boat. But suddenly a white feather falls down and serves as a boat for me. I float out to sea after them but can't really hear what they are saying. I return from this trip through the stem of the flower and as I gaze at the chrysanthemum after emerging I see the letters DHRV in Hebrew in the center.

One can note several distinctions between this event and the fantasy activity in which we ordinarily engage. Fantasies, as constructs of the linear thought process, always have logical threads running through them that help to tie up the various events. They also pertain, in the overwhelming majority of instances, to what one feels to be deficient in one's life and conversely what one would like to get—often supplied in the fantasy. As can be noted by the above imaginal experience, one enters a new world where transformations take place and laws other than those governed by linear logic are operative. These
laws are nondeterministic and acausal and are those that essentially inform the world of imagination—the world of nonconcrete, immaterial reality.

One other matter seems worthy of taking up in the context of the direction of this book as it applies to imagination. The subject to which I allude is that of narcissism. Simply put, narcissism, as it is used in psychological circles, refers to an overabundance of self-interest, self-preoccupation, or self-absorption. Such self-absorption can manifest in a variety of ways that are seemingly unrelated yet bespeak a similar process. For example, the vanity of a show business star, the power urge of a dictator, the inward retreat of a schizophrenic. All of these behaviors are termed narcissistic although one form may bespeak excessive self-love whereas another may bespeak excessive self-hate. Regardless of the motive, there is one commonality of purpose that binds all the forms together and that is: the elevation of the personal I or personal self to the center of experience. Regardless of the supposed reason for doing so, what one observes is an inordinate concern with one's personal interest, with the attendant feeling that all that goes on in the world revolves around that individual's personal existence on this earth. This affection is analogous to the older astronomical notion that the sun revolved around the earth, therefore, the earth was the center of our solar system. In human experience one can observe a similarly held notion with respect to certain people's relationship to the world. We tend to encounter the exaggerations of Ptolemaic propositions in human garb in the clinical situation. However, we are lulled often into counting such expression as an aberration having clinical meaning only rather than recognizing that what we are observing is a gross manifestation of a cultural phenomenon existing everywhere in the world. If one begins to look at areas of one's life either in relation to one's individual existence or to one's communal existence, the same narcissistic processes, socially sanctioned, would be observed. A casual glance at the world's political and economic situations reveal the essential divisiveness and unlov-
Once that situation ensues, the rest of the relationship goes downhill, extramarital affairs take place, the marriage vows ("for better or for worse") are forgotten and divorce becomes a fact.

What prompts us to create images in this way? I think it is the same process that deceives us into believing that the fragment of reality that we see represents the whole of reality. This act of taking the part to be the whole in this way is the same process of which narcissism is a part. This process is causal thinking or linear thought. This sort of thinking tends toward analyzing the world into bits or fragments. It can not do otherwise and once one is conditioned—as social conditioning continues to perpetuate—to see the world only through what one can glean via linear thought, one is forced to have to construct his relationship to reality through what the fragments tell him. The task, in this instance, always seems to be for the person to try and devote his energy into taking the fragments and piecing them together in order to make sense out of the world. This piecing together is the movement of linear logic. I won't go into the pitfalls of this process (as often happens, one mistakes logic for reality—the logic may be perfect but the reality quite faulty) but it is this fragmenting action that continuously presses one to have to create for himself what reality is out of very little information. One has no other choice under these circumstances. Since one is relying on a part, believing it to be the whole, one has a great deal of difficulty in accepting anyone else's reality construction, unless it conforms to one's own (obviously everyone who follows the same belief in linear thought's ability to apprehend reality is going through the same process). From this vantage point, and without having to go into lengthy exposition, one can get a beginning appreciation of why narcissism is such an ubiquitous problem. One is quite committed to preserving one's image or construction of reality hewed out of a fragment in which one takes oneself (that fragment) to be the whole, and will do so at any cost. Hence one can begin to understand the necessity for self-absorption engendered by such thinking. As long as this type of thinking remains dominant in the cultural context fostered by the cultural conditioning (accepting the equation that success = competitiveness = "getting ahead") there is no chance for any therapeutic modality as long as it derives its fundamental principles from the same linear thinking that has just been mentioned—to ever combat the difficulties posed in human relationships by the problems of narcissism.

Is there a way to see the whole rather than the fragment? I think yes, and I think that one can via the function of imagination. Imagination is a process occurring as an analogical function which operates as a process that sees the whole of something. It tends toward binding or bringing to unity rather than toward breaking apart as is the case with linear logic. Imagination is a realm of reality, as well as an organ of perception. This realm lies behind that ordinarily perceived by our senses and is a world as real as the one we usually refer to as objective reality. It is here that one can see the whole since in the act of apprehending imaginal reality linear logic is suspended and gestaltic perception is opened up. Furthermore, rather than creating images, as is the case in linear thinking, the brain duplicates images that are apperceived in imaginal reality. In other words, here what is received is a real event, a direct concrete experience, the information of which is sent back to the brain and is processed there, i.e., given name and meaning by the linear thought process. So we come to see that there are two types of images that must be distinguished: the created one and the duplicated one. The latter belongs to an experience of wholeness that, when experienced by one, does carry with it a recognition of one's unity or wholeness. The effect of such an experience is to give one a sense, not only of one's wholeness but of one's connection with that of which he's a part—other people, nature, the creatures, and the environment of this world in general. Once such a recognition occurs it is likely that one's self-absorption and self-preoccupation diminishes considerably and is replaced by a more selfless concern for the creatures and environment of this world. In my clinical work with imagination, utilizing a particular form called Waking Dream, as illus-
trated in the example presented earlier, I have found this to be so: that in wholeness healing is to be formed and fragmentation and its offspring, narcissism, is replaced (never eliminated) to the extent that one's life becomes richer and more full of hope and joy with the development of sharing and caring for others.

One final note: it is my contention that without the presence of the dimension of imagination in human existence one cannot grasp the presence of the holy—that which is transcendent and immanent. The holy is related to the wholeness of experience and cannot be comprehended by a process that fragments. The holy is an experience not a logical proposition. Many people who open their imagination experience some connection with holiness, and somehow, and we have seen this to be true etymologically as I have stated at the outset, there is an organic connection between holy and healing, and imagination is the catalyst.

The hopeful quality about imaginal work and imagination in general is that it is a potential of practically all human beings, meaning that it can be experienced by most everyone and in doing so one can make his life into a creative work.

**Notes**

1. There is an instance where the part does contain, rather than stands for the whole and occurs within a framework related to imagination called holography, which will be discussed by Dr. David Bohm in chapter four.
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